3727

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
der the Commence of the Com

## TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	09/937,475				
Filing Date	11/15/2001				
First Named Inventor	W. Camps				
Group Art Unit	3727				
Examiner Name	Joseph Man Moy				
Attorney Docket Number	WSP:201 US				

10/1/2003

10/01/2003

Total Number of	of Pages in This Submi	ssion 3	Attorney Docket N	<sub>umber</sub> W	/SP:201 US			
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)								
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment / Reply After Final Affidavits/de Extension of Time R Express Abandonm Information Disclose Certified Copy of Pr	claration(s) Request ent Request ure Statement	Assignm (for an A) Drawing Licensin Petition Provisio Power of Change Address Termina Reques	tent Papers (pplication) (s) g-related Papers to Convert to a nal Application f Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence		After Allowance Communication to Group  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):			
Response to Missin Incomplete Applicat	•	Kemans	J	TEC	OCT 0 8 2003 HNOLOGY CENTER RSで成			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT								
Firm or Individual name	Michael L. Dunn, Dunn & Associates							
Signature	Muluela— October 1, 2003							
Date	October 1, 2003							
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING								

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Date

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class

mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date:

Michael L. Dunn

Typed or printed name

Signature

**PATENT** WSP201:US

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

W. Camps

Art Unit:

3727

Confirm #9458

**业10** 

Serial No:

09/937,475

Filed:

November 15, 2001

I certify that this REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION is being

Examiner:

Joseph Man Moy

deposited on October \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2003, with the U.S. Postal Service as

first class mail addressed to The Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box

1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

For:

**DEVICE FOR STORING** 

AND TRANSPORTING

**UNIT LOADS** 

Registration No. 25,330

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 RECEIVE

OCT 0 8 2003

Sir:

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

This is in reply to the Official Action of July 30, 2003 rejecting Claims 19-26, 28, and 30-38.

It is noted that the Examiner has withdrawn Claims 27 and 29 as being drawn to a nonelected species. The Examiner is reminded that these claims are to be reinstated upon allowance of a generic claim. It should be further pointed out that the election was made "with traverse", not without traverse as indicated by the Examiner in the current official action. The Applicants retain the right to petition the restriction.

Claims 19-26, 28, and 30-38 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 as being anticipated by German patent publication 195 49 166. This rejection is improper and should be withdrawn. The Examiner states that "the reference show [sic shows] all structure of the device as recited by the claims." This statement is incorrect since in fact the cited reference does not show or even

suggest the claimed structure.

The Examiner states that "element 41 of figure 5" of the cited reference could be

considered as equivalent to "the claimed attachment". That is simply not the case. Elements 41

are not any kind of attachment at all but are rather "padding strips" to protect against sharp

edged sections of objects. The confusion perhaps lies in the fact that the cited reference is in the

German language; however, the Examiner may be referred to U.S. Patent 6,164,440 which is the

United States counterpart for DE 195 49 166. Column 13, lines 9-35 clearly identify items 41 as

padding strips not as attachment structures. Those 'padding strips" in no way stabilize the lower

ends of the hanging pockets during loading or otherwise, as required by the present claims.

Further no other attachment structure, as required by the present claims, is disclosed or

suggested by the cited reference that stabilizes the lower ends of the hanging pockets.

It is therefore clear that the cited reference does not disclose or suggest the presently

claimed invention. It is therefore courteously requested that the rejection be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, it is asserted that all objections and rejections have been

overcome and all claims are in condition for allowance, which action is courteously requested.

Dated: October / , 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Michael L. Dunn

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 25,330

P.O.Box 10

Newfane, New York 14108

Telephone: (716) 433-1661

MLD/csc

2